

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Is it not a fact that the Collector had made a request to clarify the order and state whether the current collection of land revenue also was to be postponed?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—Not to my knowledge.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Is it not a fact that when the Hon'ble Minister visited Gangavati on the 7th January the members of the Assembly from Raichur District had clearly stated to him that because of some confusion arising out of the instructions given, the Collector had asked for clarification, but in the meanwhile, the collections had been made and, therefore, there was no necessity of continuing the order issued before?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—I made that point clear at that time. The M.L.A.s and the workers discussed this matter with me and represented to me that, as a major portion of the land revenue had already been collected, no purpose would be served by postponing the collection of land revenue, but the collection of takavi dues should be suspended. Accordingly, I issued orders immediately to suspend the collection of takavi dues.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Is the Hon'ble Minister satisfied that the amount sanctioned for agricultural takavi is sufficient?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—There is no question of the Minister being satisfied. The Hon'ble Member may ask whether the amount sanctioned is adequate or not.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—When the whole district of Raichur was affected by heavy rains, is it the opinion of the Government. . . .

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—No opinion can be asked. The Hon'ble Member may put a question in proper form.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Is the amount sanctioned as agricultural Takavi sufficient to meet the requirements of the situation?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—Sir, when the amount was sanctioned, no estimate could be made. But, I have issued instructions to the officers and they have told the fact to the M.L.A.s and the workers that this amount would

be sufficient in the beginning; if more amounts are necessary in future, the officers should make recommendations to the Government and Government is prepared to sanction more amounts.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Because of the heavy rains, the Rabi crop has also failed in many parts of the district. Is Government considering taking steps to suspend collection of land revenue and to start relief works?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—When questions are asked, they should be definite. Assumption should not be made. If it is the intention of the Hon'ble Member to say that Rabi crops have failed, he can ask a question. "Is it not a fact that the Rabi crops in Raichur district have failed?" Otherwise, it does not appear to be a question; it appears as if it is an argument.

**Sri L. K. SHROFF.**—Will the Government be pleased to state whether Rabi crops also have failed in many parts of the district?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—Sir, I have learnt from the non-official sources that Rabi crops have failed to some extent in some parts. But, I have not received official reports to that effect. I have called for the report. This question is under the active consideration of the Government.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Since the harvest of the Rabi crop is almost over, is it not late now to suspend the collection?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—Sir, land assessment for the Rabi crop is recovered from April. Therefore, the question whether the harvests are over or not does not arise. Government is actively considering whether recoveries should be made or not and orders would be issued well in time.

### **Act for the Abolition of Religious Inams (Implementation).**

**Q.—218. Sri V. MASİYAPPA (Hiriyur).**—(4-12-1956)

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the Act for the Abolition of Religious Inams was passed;

(b) whether it has been given effect to; if not why;

(c) when are they going to notify the date of vesting?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—(13-3-1957).

(a) 19th August 1955.

(b) No. Action will be taken to enforce the provisions of the Act as soon as the rules are finalised.

(c) *Vide* reply to (b) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಧವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಭೂಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಜಾಗೃತಿಯಾಗಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಇನಾಂಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ತಡವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದರಿಂದ ಗೋದಾರರಿಗಾಗಲಿ ರೈತರಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಏನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇನಾಂಗ್ರಾಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವರೆಗೂ, The Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1950 ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇನಾಂ ರದ್ದಿಯಾತಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವವರೆಗೂ ಈ ಕಾನೂನು ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ತರಹ ಯಾವ ಕಾನೂನು ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅದನ್ನು ಗಣನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ *antedated lease deed* ಮಾಡಿ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ವಿಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Under section 6 of the later Act, alienation of all reserved lands in the alienated villages, by the superior holders, without the previous approval of the Deputy Commissioner shall be void and have no effect. ಹೀಗೆ ಅದರ ಕಾನೂನಿದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಷ್ಟ ಒದಗಿದರೆ ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸರಕಾರದ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ತಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri V. MASIYAPPA.—In spite of that provision, has it come to the notice of the Government that reserve lands are being disposed of without obtaining the previous permission of the Deputy Commissioner and by obtaining *antedated lease deeds*?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸರಕಾರದ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಬಂದರೆ ಆ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರವಾಗಲಿ, ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಆಗಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

Sri V. MASIYAPPA.—How are Government going to dispose of such cases in the event they are bringing into effect this Religious Inams Abolition Act?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can the Government reply about that now? Hypothetical questions should not be asked.

Sri V. MASIYAPPA.—Sir, there have been petitions presented to Government in this regard and no action has been taken.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member may ask if there have been certain petitions in this respect. If the Government replies to the effect that there have been such petitions, then the Hon'ble Member may ask further questions.

Sri V. MASIYAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that several representations are made to the effect that reserve lands are being disposed of in the way I have already mentioned?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, I am not aware of the complaint. If the complaint is in general terms, Government cannot take any action. If anybody brings definite cases to the notice of the Government or the officer concerned, action could be taken.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—What is the difficulty in the way of Government in framing rules even after such a long time?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, as a matter of fact, there is no difficulty. The rules are already notified and they are to be finalised. As soon as they are finalised, necessary steps would be taken. I can assure the Hon'ble Member that early steps would be taken.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Is it the view of the Government that framing rules to an Act enacted in this House would require more than 1½ years?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, the rules were published on 1-8-1956; not 1½ years ago.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—What is it that has still to be done for those rules to call them 'finalised'?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, I must admit that I cannot give any explanation.

(SRI M. P. PATIL).

There was delay; there is no doubt. But now steps are being taken to finalise the rules as early as possible and Government would take further steps also.

### Construction of Gokattes to Provide Drinking Water in the Draught Areas of Challakere and Molakalmuru Taluks.

Q.—223. Sri V. MASIYAPPA (Hiriyur).—(4-12-1956)

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it has come to their notice that Challakere, Molakalmuru and portions of Hiriyur Taluk are situated in draught areas;

(b) whether the people of these areas are constantly representing to Government to construct Gokattes to provide drinking water for their cattle and people;

(c) the action taken in the matter?

A.—Sri. M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—(19-3-1957)

(a) The average annual rainfall in these taluks is less than the average for the State.

(b) No such applications are pending in Hiriyur and Challakere Taluks. General representations are received for repairing Gokattes in Molakalmuru Taluk.

(c) In the year 1951-52, when rains failed, the areas were declared draught affected and relief works were started to provide labour. Application for repairs of Gokattes are being examined and necessary action is taken. In the year 1951-52 repairs to about 23 Gokattes were carried out.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಮೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಳೆ ಬಹಳ ಕಡಮೆ ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಈ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಆಹಾರಾಭಾವ ಮತ್ತು ನೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವವಿರುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, the question is about Gokattes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is enquiring about the annual

rainfall. He means to say that every year the rainfall in this District is less.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It is agreed.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ದನಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವವಿದೆ ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, the question is only about Gokattes. I can reply as far as Gokattes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is asking a preliminary question about Gokattes. If the water is not sufficient for cattle, naturally Gokattes will have to be constructed; that is what he is driving at.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಗೋಕಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸುವುದು ದನಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳಿಗೆ ನೀರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಮಳೆಯ ಅಭಾವವಿರತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಜನಗಳಿಗೂ ನೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಗೋಕಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಜನತೆ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಸರಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—‘ಬಿ’ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

9 A.M.

Sri V. MASIYAPPA.—My question is regarding construction of new gokattes and also repairing of the existing gokattes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That has been answered in (b).

Sri M. P. PATIL.—In 1951-52 when there was scarcity, some gokattes were repaired by the Government. As a matter of fact it is the responsibility of the people to repair these gokattes. When there is no scarcity, Government cannot take up the work of repairs. When any area is declared as scarcity area, the work would be taken up under the provisions of ‘Scarcity works’. But under ordinary circumstances, Government has no provision to take up the work. Recently Government is thinking of having a scheme under which the people should contribute something and Government should also contribute something. But that matter is still under consideration and I cannot give all those details.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What about the construction of Gokattes; whose responsibility is it?